BRB No. 93-0496

JOSEPH L. JOHNSON)
)
Claimant-Respondent)
)
v.)
)
INGALLS SHIPBUILDING,)
INCORPORATED) DATE ISSUED:
)
Self-Insured)
Employer-Petitioner) DECISION and ORDER

Appeal of the Supplemental Decision and Order Awarding Attorney Fees of Quentin P. McColgin, Administrative Law Judge, United States Department of Labor.

John F. Dillon (Maples and Lomax, P.A.), Pascagoula, Mississippi, for claimant.

Traci M. Castille (Franke, Rainey & Salloum), Gulfport, Mississippi, for self-insured employer.

Before: HALL, Chief Administrative Appeals Judge, SMITH and DOLDER, Administrative Appeals Judges.

PER CURIAM:

Employer appeals the Supplemental Decision and Order Awarding Attorney Fees (90-LHC-1599) of Administrative Law Judge Quentin P. McColgin rendered on a claim filed pursuant to the provisions of the Longshore and Harbor Workers' Compensation Act, as amended, 33 U.S.C. §901 *et seq.* (the Act). The amount of an attorney's fee award is discretionary and may be set aside only if the challenging party shows it to be arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or not in accordance with law. *See, e.g., Muscella v. Sun Shipbuilding & Dry Dock Co.*, 12 BRBS 272 (1980).

Claimant filed a claim under the Act seeking benefits for a noise-induced hearing loss. While the case was pending before the Office of Administrative Law Judges, the parties filed a joint motion to remand the case based on the fact that employer accepted compensability and paid claimant the full amount due. By Order dated June 10, 1991, the administrative law judge granted the motion and remanded the case to the district director for appropriate disposition.

Claimant thereafter submitted a fee petition to the administrative law judge. Counsel requested an attorney's fee of \$2,461.75, representing 19.38 hours of services at \$125 per hour, and \$39.25 in expenses. Employer filed objections to the fee. Claimant replied, and sought a fee for an additional hour of services. In a Supplemental Decision and Order, the administrative law judge

reduced the number of hours sought in the fee petition by 8.005, reduced the hourly rate sought to \$100, and awarded claimant's counsel an attorney's fee of \$1,237.50, plus the requested expenses.

On appeal, employer challenges the administrative law judge's award of an attorney's fee, incorporating by reference the objections it made below into its appellate brief. Claimant responds, urging affirmance of the fee award.

Employer initially contends that the fee awarded is excessive, maintaining that the instant case was routine, uncontested, and not complex. The administrative law judge considered the routine and uncomplicated nature of the instant case in reducing counsel's requested hourly rate from \$125 to \$100. We, therefore, reject employer's contention that the awarded fee must be further reduced on this criterion because employer has not satisfied its burden of showing that the administrative law judge abused his discretion in awarding a fee based on an hourly rate of \$100. See Ross v. Ingalls Shipbuilding, Inc., 29 BRBS 42 (1995); see generally Snowden v. Ingalls Shipbuilding, Inc., 25 BRBS 245 (1991) (Brown, J., dissenting on other grounds), aff'd on recon. en banc, 25 BRBS 346 (1992) (Brown, J., dissenting on other grounds).

We next reject employer's objections to the number of hours awarded by the administrative law judge, as it has not shown that the administrative law judge abused his discretion in this regard. See Ross, 29 BRBS at 42; Maddon v. Western Asbestos Co., 23 BRBS 55 (1989); Cabral v. General Dynamics Corp., 13 BRBS 97 (1981). Employer's specific objection to counsel's method of billing in minimum increments of one-quarter hour also is rejected, as the administrative law judge considered this objection, and his award conforms to the criteria set forth in the decisions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit in Ingalls Shipbuilding, Inc. v. Director, OWCP [Fairley], No. 89-4459 (5th Cir. July 25, 1990) (unpublished) and Ingalls Shipbuilding, Inc. v. Director, OWCP [Biggs], No. 94-40066 (5th Cir. Jan. 12, 1995) (unpublished).

Employer's contentions which were not raised below will not be addressed for the first time on appeal. *Bullock v. Ingalls Shipbuilding, Inc.*, 27 BRBS 90 (1993)(*en banc*)(Brown and McGranery, JJ., concurring and dissenting), *modified on other grounds on recon. en banc*, 28 BRBS 102 (1994), *aff'd mem. sub nom. Ingalls Shipbuilding, Inc. v. Director, OWCP [Biggs]*, 46 F.3d 66 (5th Cir. 1995); *Clophus v. Amoco Production Co.*, 21 BRBS 261 (1988).

Accordingly, the administrative law judge's Supplemental Decision and Order Awarding Attorney Fees is affirmed.

SO ORDERED.

BETTY JEAN HALL, Chief Administrative Appeals Judge

ROY P. SMITH Administrative Appeals Judge

NANCY S. DOLDER Administrative Appeals Judge